A Win for Modern Agriculture

FACT SHEET



THE ISSUE

- Environmental and animal rights groups attempted to force the EPA into imposing more **stringent regulations** on Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs) **without proper scientific investigation.**
- The EPA rejected these petitions in **2017** and **2022**, citing the need for ongoing studies, data collection, and stakeholder engagement.
- Activist groups, including Food & Water Watch, Center for Biological Diversity, and Center for Food Safety, **sued the EPA** in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

THE DECISION

- In October 2024, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals denied the activists' petition for review.
- The court upheld the EPA's reasoned decision to decline the demands made by the activists, citing the agency's ongoing efforts aimed at gathering more comprehensive data.
- The court recognized that **policymaking in complex areas like agricultural** water quality requires careful evaluation and that agencies must be given discretion to pursue scientifically sound strategies.
- Several agricultural organizations—including American Farm Bureau Federation, National Pork Producers Council, U.S. Poultry & Egg Association, and United Egg Producers—intervened in support of the EPA.

Keeping Clients and Industry Stakeholders Informed









Why producers care

- Confirms existing regulatory compliance Large modern farms already follow extensive federal, state, and local environmental rules under the Clean Water Act and more.
- Supports science-based policy Allows the EPA to continue gathering real-world data instead of rushing to implement activist-driven mandates.
- Recognizes agricultural stewardship Acknowledges that modern CAFOs continue to innovate, adopting new technologies and best practices that further minimize environmental impact to protect natural resources.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- No New Immediate Regulations Producers avoid sudden, untested compliance burdens.
- Affirms Agriculture's Role Decision reflects confidence in farmers' commitment to environmental responsibility and continuous improvement.
- **Supports Long-Term Stability** Gives regulators and producers time to develop practical, effective solutions for water quality and environmental protection.

THE BOTTOM LINE

This ruling is a **positive affirmation** for livestock producers and the entire agricultural sector—protecting against rushed, activist-driven regulations and ensuring that environmental policy remains grounded in science, practicality, and the realities of modern agriculture